

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,597	PLACHETTA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
ALEXANDER C. KOLLIAS	1796	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) ALEXANDER C. KOLLIAS.

(3) ____.

(2) Bruton Amernick.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 4-5, 8 10, 13-17, and 19-21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

see continuation sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

The Examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Mr. Burton Americk, in order to propose an amendment to claim 1. Specifically, the Examiner proposed amending claim 1 in order to connect the process recited in the claim to the pressure differential recited in the claims. Specifically, the Examiner proposed amending the claims as follows:

Claim 1:

- a. blending said product mixture with caprolactam followed by polymerizing the caprolactam to form a masterbatch and filtering the masterbatch in a melt through a filter".
- b. replacing "polymerization product" with masterbatch

claims 19-21:

- c. cancel claim 19 – masterbatch recited in the changes to claim 1
- d. cancel claim 20 – should depend on claim 1 however the subject matter of this claim is already recited in claim 5.
- e. cancel claim 21 – claim 1 already recites water removal before or during polymerization.

Proposed further amendments to the claims:

claim 1 : replace "the confluence" with "a confluence" and "the outer" with "an outer"

claims 2 and 4-5 replace "A process as claimed in claim 1" with "The process of claim 1"

claim 8 replace "includes" with "has:

claim 10 replace "pigments are added" with "pigments is added"

claims 13-17 replace "as claimed in" with "of"

claim 17 – correct typographical error "caprolactum" with "caprolactam"

claim 17 – replace "dispersant" with "dispersing assistant"

Permission for the above changes was given by Mr. Amernick of 7/29/2010